УДК 51-77

Petukhov A.Y.[1], Malkhanov A.O.[1], Sandalov V.M.[1], Petukhov Yu.V.[1,2]

[1] Lobachevsky State University of Nizhny Novgorod, Nizhny Novgorod, Russia
[2] Institute of Applied Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

MATHEMATICAL MODELING OF THE ETHNO-SOCIAL CONFLICTS BY LANGEVIN EQUATION*

Abstract

Social hyper-clusterization of society, sharp division in the information and social environment of the coexistence of individuals, and cultural and interethnic dissociation create ideal conditions for social conflict. The prevention of conflicts in society, the definition of their triggers and the search for the most effective scenarios for their suppression are the important tasks for modern social sciences.

In this study, we propose a model of ethno-social conflict based on diffusion equations with the introduction of the control function for such a conflict. Based on the classical concepts of ethno-social conflicts, we propose a characteristic parameter – social distance that determines the state of society from the point of view of the theory of conflict.

A model based on the diffusion equation of Langevin is developed. The model is based on the idea that individuals interact in society through a communicative field – h. This field is induced by every person in a society, serves as a model of the information interaction between individuals. In addition, the control is introduced into the system through the dissipation function.

A solution of the system of equations for a divergent diffusion type is given. Using the example of two interacting-conflicting ethnic groups of individuals, we have identified the characteristic patterns of ethno-social conflict in the social system and determined the effect the social distance in society has in development of similar processes with regard to the external influence, dissipation, and random factors. We have demonstrated how the phase portrait of the system qualitatively changes as the parameters of the control function of the ethno-social conflict change.

Using the analysis data of the resulting phase portraits, we have concluded that it is possible to control a characteristic area of sustainability for a social system, within which it remains stable and does not become subject to ethno-social conflicts.

By determining and correlating these trigger states with the introduced parametrization of the control function, it is possible to determine the patterns corresponding to certain modern ethno-social conflicts, which makes it possible to use this model as a tool for predicting their dynamics and the formation of resolution scenarios.

Keywords

Ethno-social conflict, control, society, diffusion equations, Langevin equation, communicative field.

Петухов А.Ю.[1], Мальханов А.О.[1], Сандалов В.М.[1], Петухов Ю.В.[1,2]

[1] Нижегородский государственный университет им. Н.И. Лобачевского, г. Нижний Новгород, Россия
[2] Институт прикладной физики РАН, г. Москва, Россия

МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКОЕ МОДЕЛИРОВАНИЯ ЭТНОСОЦИАЛЬНЫХ КОНФЛИКТОВ С ПОМОЩЬЮ УРАВНЕНИЯ ЛАНЖЕВЕНА

Аннотация

Социальная гиперкластеризация общества, резкое разделения в информационной и социальной среде существования индивидов, культурная и межнациональная разобщённость создает идеальные условия для социального конфликта. Предупреждение

* **Труды II Международной научной конференции «Конвергентные когнитивно-информационные технологии» (Convergent'2017), Москва, 24-26 ноября, 2017**

Proceedings of the II International scientific conference "Convergent cognitive information technologies" (Convergent'2017), Moscow, Russia, November 24-26, 2017

же конфликтов в обществе, определение их граничных условий возникновения и поиск наиболее эффективных сценариев их пресечения является важной задачей для современных социальных наук.

В данном исследовании мы предлагаем модель этносоциального конфликта основанную на диффузионных уравнениях с введением функции управления данным конфликтом. На основе классических концепций этносоциальных конфликтов предложен характерный параметр – социальная дистанция, определяющая состояние общества с точки зрения теории конфликта.

Модель использует на диффузионное уравнение Ланжевена. В основе модели лежит идея, что индивиды взаимодействуют в обществе посредством поля коммуникации – h. Это поле создаётся каждым человеком в обществе, моделируя информационное взаимодействие между индивидами. Также в систему введено управление – через функцию диссипации.

Приведено решение системы полученных уравнений для расходящегося типа диффузии. На примере двух взаимодействующих-конфликтующих этнических групп индивидов выявлены характерные закономерности этносоциального конфликта в общественной системе, определено влияние социальной дистанции в обществе на условия генерации подобных процессов с учётом внешнего влияния, диссипации и случайного фактора. Показано, как при изменении параметров функции управления этносоциальным конфликтом качественно меняется фазовая картина системы.

Из анализа полученных в результате моделирования фазовых портретов сделан вывод о возможности управления характерной области устойчивости для социальной системы, в рамках которой она стабильна и не подвержена этносоциальным конфликтам.

Ключевые слова

Этносоциальный конфликт, управление, социум, диффузионные уравнения, уравнение Ланжевена, поле коммуникации.

Introduction

Ethno-social conflicts are a type of social conflict that can be defined as a peak stage in the development of contradictions between individuals, groups of individuals, and society as a whole, which is characterized by the existence of conflicting interests, goals, and views of the subjects of interaction. Conflicts may be hidden or explicit, but they are always based on the absence of compromise, and sometimes even a dialogue between two or more parties [1]. Ethno-social (interethnic) conflict itself can be defined as a kind of relationship between national/cultural groups of individuals characterized by a confrontation in an open or latent phase (i.e. from mutual claims to direct military or terrorist actions). Studies on ethno-social conflicts are widely represented both in classical and modern works: [1-9] and other.

In fact, given the significant impact of such phenomena on the society and on the processes associated with it, the methods and ways for describing and predicting ethno-social conflicts are extremely important. One of the directions for finding solutions to this problem is the prediction and description of social conflict by means of mathematical modeling [6-9]. Mathematical modeling based on nonlinear dynamics, so widely used in natural science, is still applied quite rarely in sociological research. Holyst J.A., Kacperski K., Schweiter F. propose a convenient model of public opinion, which views the interaction between individuals as a Brownian motion [10]. However, mathematical modeling based on nonlinear dynamics, so widely used in natural science, is still applied quite rarely in sociological research.

Math modeling ethno-social conflicts

It is important to identify a parameter determinant to an ethno-social conflict, which will underlie the model we are creating. It is clear that this parameter should be logically justified within the framework of the main modern concepts of social conflict. This parameter is social distance. Previous works [6] discuss this matter in more detail; therefore, here we will only provide the following provisions critical for understanding of this model:

1. A major social conflict, as a rule, is accompanied by an informational and social distance between individuals and groups of individuals. Such a distance can be based on interethnic, cultural, religious, and economic differences. There can be various reasons for such a conflict: different levels of aggression of social and ethnic groups, contradicting cultural and economic aspirations, etc. Thus, the social-informational distance itself does not cause the conflict, but, as a rule, accompanies it.

2. This distance increases during the course of the conflict, especially in its extreme variants (revolutions, civil wars, etc.), leading the opposing parties to the position of "non-reconciliation". The history, unfortunately, has very few examples of short and medium-term positive scenario for such situations.

3. Therefore, this point of no return, as a rule, occurs just before the onset of the conflict, and such a transition of a social system from one state to another become decisive (triggering) for the overall situation.

In this case, as a rule, very few conflicts in a modern globalizing world occur without external influence and even interference. This raises the question of introducing control into a model of conflict. This control can play a decisive role in its generation and dynamics.

Fundamentals of the Model

Socio-political processes are subject to constant changes and deformations, therefore from the point of view of mathematical modeling they cannot be set with a high degree of precision. Here we can trace the analogy with the Brownian particle, i.e. a particle that seemingly moves along a rather defined trajectory, but under close examination, this trajectory turns out to be strongly tortuous, with many small knees. These small changes (fluctuations) are explained by the chaotic motion of other molecules. In social processes, fluctuations can be interpreted as manifestations of the free will of its individual participants, as well as other random manifestations of the external environment. In physics, these processes are, as a rule, described by Langevin equation of the stochastic diffusion, which has been applied with relative success for modeling of some social processes as well. For example, the previously mentioned model [10] is based on the use of this equation.

The model is based on the assumption that individuals interact in society through a communicative field – h. This field is induced by each individual in society and serves as a model of the information interaction between individuals. However, we should keep in mind that here we are talking about a society, which is difficult to classify as an object in classical physical spatial topology. Objectively, from the point of view of information transfer from an individual to an individual, space in society combines both classical spatial coordinates and additional specific parameters and features. This is caused by the fact that in the modern information world there is no need to be close to the object of influence in order to transmit information to it.

Thus, the society is a multidimensional, social-physical space that reflects the ability of one individual to "reach" another individual with his communicative field, that is, to influence it, its parameters and the ability to move in a given space. Accordingly, the position of the individual relative to other individuals in such a space, among other things, models the level of relationships between them and involvement into the information exchange. The proximity of individuals to each other in this model suggests that there is a regular exchange of information between them, which establishes a social connection. The conflict in such a statement of the problem should be regarded as a variant of the interaction of individuals, or groups of individuals, as a result of which the distance (i.e., social distance xi – xj, where xi and xj are the coordinates in social and physical space, i, j = [1, N], where N is the number of individuals or consolidated groups of individuals) between them is growing rapidly.

Conflict management or various options for conflict mediation [1,3], from the point of view of modeling, are an additional function that depends at least on the coordinates and affects the overall stability and structure of the social system. There are a number of physical analogies that are similarly influenced by physical systems, for example, a dissipative function that can have different forms in different physical conditions.

Mathematical Representation of the System

The communicative field, as in [6], is represented by a diffusion equation with a divergent type of diffusion:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h(x_i,t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} f(x_i,x_j)\vartheta(x_i,x_j)\bar{\delta}_{(k_s^j+k_c^j),(k_s^i+k_c^i)}+D\big(h(x_i,t)-h(x_i,t_0)\big), \quad (1)$$

where $f(x_i,x_j)$ is a function that describes the interaction between individuals, which is modeled by the classical Gaussian distribution;

$\vartheta(x_i,x_j)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon\sqrt{\pi}}e^{\frac{-(x_i-x_j)^2}{\varepsilon^2}}$, – is introduced instead of the delta-function to simplify the process of computer modeling; $\bar{\delta}_{(k_s^j+k_c^j),(k_s^i+k_c^i)}$ – is the inverse Kronecker symbol; D is the diffusion coefficient describing the propagation of the communicative field. The movement of an individual in space is described by the Langevin equation:

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = u(x_i) + k_c^i k_s^i \left(\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} h(x_j, t) \right) + \sqrt{2D}\xi_i(t), \quad (2)$$

$u(x)$ is the control function, which we set as:

$$u(x) = -\frac{x_i}{\tau}$$

where τ is the time of relaxation in the society, k_c^i – coefficient of social activity of the i^{th} individual or a group of individuals, k_s^i – coefficient of the scientific and technological progress of the i^{th} individual or a group of individuals, $\xi_i(t)$ –stochastic force. We believe that the distinctive parameters of the system can take on values: $0 < k_c, k_s, D < 1$.

In the general case, the following are chosen as the initial conditions for equations (1) and (2):

$$x_i|_{t=0} = x_{0i}, \qquad h(x_i, t = 0) = h_{0i}\,.$$

An approximate solution of the system

Let us consider a model of two interacting consolidated ethnic groups of individuals, presumably in a state of conflict. In this case, equations (1) and (2) produce four equations that fully describe the model of interaction of individuals:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial h(x_1,t)}{\partial t} = D\left[h(x_1,t) - h(x_1,0)\right] + \alpha k_c^2 k_s^1 e^{-\frac{\psi^2+1}{\psi^2}(x_1-x_2)^2}, \\ \dfrac{\partial h(x_2,t)}{\partial t} = D\left[h(x_2,t) - h(x_2,0)\right] + \alpha k_c^1 k_s^2 e^{-\frac{\psi^2+1}{\psi^2}(x_1-x_2)^2}, \\ \dfrac{dx_1}{dt} = u(x_1) + k_c^1 k_s^1 \dfrac{\partial h(x_2,t)}{\partial x_2} + \sqrt{2D}\xi_1(t), \\ \dfrac{dx_2}{dt} = u(x_2) + k_c^2 k_s^2 \dfrac{\partial h(x_1,t)}{\partial x_1} + \sqrt{2D}\xi_2(t), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where: $\psi = k_c^1 + k_s^1 + k_c^2 + k_s^2, \alpha = \dfrac{1}{\psi\sqrt{\pi}} \bar{\delta}_{k_c^1+k_s^1, k_c^2+k_s^2}$.

Here, as in [6]: in order to obtain approximate analytic solutions of the system (3), we use the series expansion accurate to first-order quantities of smallness for $\Delta x = x_i - x_{oi}$ $\Delta t = t - t_o$ difference and let us integrate the first two equations of the system (3), and then, using the obtained results and the two latter equations of the system (3), considering the continuity of the corresponding functions, transform the system. Let us then differentiate over time. Assuming that the stochastic forces for the two groups are the same $\xi_1(t) = \xi_2(t)$ .Then, by introducing new variables:

$$y = x_1 - x_2, A = D\left(k_c^1 k_s^1 - k_c^2 k_s^2\right), B = 2\alpha \frac{\left(\psi^2+1\right)}{\psi^2}\left(k_c^1 k_s^1 k_c^1 k_s^2 + k_c^2 k_s^2 k_c^2 k_s^1\right), C = \frac{\psi^2+1}{\psi^2},$$

we obtain an equation that looks as follows:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = A - H\frac{dy}{dt} + Bye^{-Cy^2}, B > 0, C > 0, H = \frac{1}{\tau}, \quad (4)$$

where A, B, C depend on the parameters: k_s^i, k_c^i, D. Let us write the equation (4) in the Cauchy form:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{dy}{dt} = z, \\ \dfrac{dz}{dt} = A - Hz + Bye^{-Cy^2}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The system (5) can be viewed as a dynamic system that describes the process of interaction of two individuals or groups of individuals. This system is non-conservative, but finding its equilibrium states is reduced to solving the same system of equations as in the conservative case, see [6]:

$$\begin{cases} z = 0, \\ ye^{-Cy^2} = -\dfrac{A}{B}. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

It was shown in [6] that the corresponding system has two equilibrium states: the saddle and the center. The general theory of dynamical systems states that the saddle is a rough equilibrium state, that is, its type does not change after a sufficiently small change in the system. While the center is a non-rough state of equilibrium, with small changes in the system, such a state of equilibrium shifts to a stable or unstable focus. Taking into account the discussion of rough and non-rough equilibrium states, it is easy to construct a phase portrait of the system under consideration in the presence of dissipation (Fig. 1), PhT – Phase trajectories. Considering the above, the equilibrium state O_2 of the saddle type does not change its type, but the stable separatrix loop will break, while the equilibrium state O_1 of the center type $\tau > 0$ $(H > 0)$ will shift into a steady focus.



Fig. 1. PhT under conditions (7) and $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$.



Fig. 2. PhT under conditions (8) and $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$.



Fig. 3. PhT under conditions (7) and $\tau = 1$



Fig. 4. PhT under conditions (8) and $\tau = 1$



Fig. 5. PhT under conditions (7) and $\tau = 2$



Fig. 6. PhT under conditions (8) and $\tau = 2$

Figures 1-6 show phase portraits for the case of two equilibrium states under conditions

$$0 < -\frac{A}{B} < \sqrt{\frac{1}{2C}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}, A < 0 \quad (7)$$

or conditions

$$-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2C}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} < -\frac{A}{B} < 0, A > 0 \quad (8)$$

for three different values of the parameter τ $(\frac{1}{2}; 1; 2)$, where $\dot{y} = \frac{dy}{dt}$.

The obtained phase portraits show that O_1 is the stable node and O_2 is the saddle. Separatrix, which passes along the border between the gray and white parts of the figure, refers to the saddle O_2. These separatrices divide the phase plane into areas with qualitatively different behavior of the phase trajectories. The area highlighted in gray is the area of asymptotic stability of the node (region of attraction). The obtained phase portraits (Fig.3 – Fig.6) show that O_1 is the steady focus and O_2 is the saddle. Trajectories between the gray and white "zone" of the figure are the separatrices of the saddle O_2. The gray area is the region of asymptotic stability of the node (region of attraction).

The analysis of the obtained results (see Fig.1, Fig.6) can lead to the conclusion that there is a certain region of asymptotic stability. Fig.4-6 shows that with increasing of the parameter τ (which, from the physical point of view, corresponds to a decrease in the impact of the dissipation function), the region of attraction of the stable equilibrium state decreases, which agrees with the classical concept of the coefficient of friction. The edges of this region are the separatrices of the saddle O_2.

When changing the parameters, we can easily notice that the behavior of the phase trajectories also changes. This concerns purely quantitative changes in the size and location of trajectories, but can lead to significant, qualitative changes in the structure of the phase portrait, i.e. bifurcation. For example, under the following conditions:

$$0 < -\frac{A}{B} < \sqrt{\frac{1}{2C}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}, A < 0,$$

we have (Fig. $1 - 6$) two simple singular points O_1 and O_2 , but when we reach the value:

$$-\frac{A}{B} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2C}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}, A < 0,$$

equilibrium states O_1 and O_2 merge, forming one complex singular point, which, if the following conditions are met:

$$-\frac{A}{B} > \sqrt{\frac{1}{2C}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}, A < 0,$$

does not appear at all. Thus, bifurcation here is characterized by the birth and disappearance of equilibrium positions. In the model under consideration, the bifurcation values of the parameters are as follows:

$$-\frac{A}{B} = 0, -\frac{A}{B} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2C}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad -\frac{A}{B} = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2C}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

Individuals or groups of individuals, who have the necessary parameters to enter the area of asymptotic stability at the initial moment of time remain at a distance, within which social connections and active information exchange are possible, which means that a conflict state is unlikely or impossible.

As noted in the statement of the task, in a society, where social and informational contact, as well as the interpenetration of different cultures and ethnic groups are sufficient, where separate groups of people do not separate from each other creating closed subsystems (where the conditions differ significantly from the basic system), the possibility of the emergence of ethno-social, religious and other conflicts is reduced to a relative minimum.

Individuals or groups of individuals that have fallen outside the region of stability at the initial moment, over time, will end up at a relatively large social distance. This particular state of the social system can be described as the conflict and the manifestation of the existing contradictions between individuals and groups of individuals [6] different ethnic groups, the increase in the socioeconomic gap, growing contradictions and, as a result, the transition to an open confrontation phase with the destabilization of the social and political system as a whole.

The control function for an ethno-social conflict *u(x)* (See (2)) introduced here demonstrates how, with a change in its parameters, the phase portrait, and therefore the state of the social system can be substantially changed. This suggests that with a certain mediation, it is possible to achieve a "larger" stability zone, which will attract a greater number of phase trajectories, which in turn provides a greater chance of maintaining the necessary social distance in order to minimize the chances of an ethno-social conflict.

Discussion and conclusions

Social hyper-clusterization of society, sharp division in the information and social environment of the coexistence of individuals, and cultural and interethnic dissociation create ideal conditions for social conflict. The prevention of conflicts in society, the definition of their triggers and the search for the most effective scenarios for their suppression are the important tasks for modern social sciences.

This article briefly reviewed the main approaches to modeling in the social sciences, the problems of determining social conflict and its main concepts. A formalized definition of one of the parameters leading to a conflict in the social system is given.

A mathematical model based on the Langevin equation is proposed, an analytical solution is given in the first approximation for a divergent diffusion type. The function of management (mediation) by conflict is introduced based on the physical analogy – the dissipation function.

Specific trigger conditions that take into consideration the external influence and control were established. These conditions are determined by the parameters of the social system, under which the grounds for the emergence of social conflict and its aggravation are created.

Modeling of the system allowed identifying a distinctive region of stability for the social system, determined by phase trajectories. In this area, the studied objects maintain a relatively short social distance between each other, which is typical for social groups, which are actively interacting and stay in a constant information contact. It has been shown how, depending on the impact of the conflict control function, this region is changing.

By determining and correlating these trigger states with the introduced parametrization of the control function, it is possible to determine the patterns corresponding to certain modern ethno-social conflicts, which makes it possible to use this model as a tool for predicting their dynamics and the formation of resolution scenarios.

Acknowledgments

The research was performed using a grant of the Russian Science Foundation (Project № 15-18-00047).

References

1. Dollard J., Doob L. W., Miller N. E., Mowrer O. H., Sears R. R. Frustration and Aggression. New Haven and London, 1993;
2. Dahrendorf R. Elemente eines Theorie des sozialen Konflikts // Dahrendorf R. Gesellschaft und Freiheit. Miinchen 1965;
3. Gurr T., Harff B. Ethnic Conflict in World Politics. – Boulder, San Francisco, Oxford, 1994;
4. Galtung J. Violence, peace and peace research. In: Journal of Peace Research. Vol. 6. 1969 no. 3;
5. Gurr T. R. Minorities at Risk. A Global View of Ethnopolitical Conflicts-Washington, 1993;
6. Petukhov A.Y., Malkhanov AO, Sandalov V.M. and Petukhov Yu.V. (2016). Modeling Conflict in the Social System Using Diffusion Equations. Izvestiya Vuzov. Applied nonlinear dynamics. 24(6), 65-83. (in Russian)
7. Malkov V.P. Mathematical modeling of historical dynamics: approaches and models. M. 2009. (in Russian)
8. Mason J.W. D. Consciousness and the structuring property of typical data. Complexity, Volume 18, Issue 3, pages 28–37, January/February 2013. DOI: 10.1002/cplx.21431
9. Castellano, C., Fortunato, S. & Loreto, V. (2009). Statistical physics of social dynamics. Reviews of Modern Physics, 81, 591–646.
10. Holyst J.A., Kasperski K., Schweitger F. Phase transitions in social impact models of opinion formation Physica. 2000 v.A285. p. 199-210.

Note on the authors:

Petukhov Alexandr Y., candidate of political sciences, associate professor, head of the "Modeling social and political processes" research lab, Lobachevsky State University of Nizhny Novgorod, Lectorr@yandex.ru

Sandalov Vladimir M., candidate of physical and mathematical Sciences, associate Professor, senior researcher, "Modeling social and political processes" research lab, associate professor, chair of theoretical, computer and experimental mechanics, Lobachevsky State University of Nizhny Novgorod, granel09@gmail.com

Malkhanov Aleksey O., candidate of physical and mathematical sciences, associate professor, senior researcher, research lab "Modeling of social and political processes", Lobachevsky State University of Nizhny Novgorod, alexey.malkhanov@gmail.com

Petukhov Yuri V., doctor of physics and mathematics, professor, chief researcher, research lab «Modeling of Social and Political Processes», Lobachevsky State University of Nizhny Novgorod; Institute of Applied Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, yuvpetukhov@ya.ru

Об авторах:

Петухов Александр Юрьевич, кандидат политических наук, доцент, руководитель НИЛ «Моделирования социальных и политических процессов», Нижегородский государственный университет им. Н.И. Лобачевского, Lectorr@yandex.ru

Сандалов Владимир Михайлович, кандидат физико-математических наук, доцент, старший научный сотрудник НИЛ «Моделирования социальных и политических процессов», доцент кафедры теоретической, компьютерной и экспериментальной механики, Нижегородский государственный университет им. Н.И. Лобачевского, granel09@gmail.com

Мальханов Алексей Олегович, кандидат физико-математических наук, доцент, старший научный сотрудник НИЛ «Моделирования социальных и политических процессов», Нижегородский государственный университет им. Н.И. Лобачевского, alexey.malkhanov@gmail.com

Петухов Юрий Васильевич, доктор физико-математических наук, профессор, главный научный сотрудник НИЛ «Моделирования социальных и политических процессов», Нижегородский государственный университет им. Н.И. Лобачевского; Институт прикладной физики РАН, yuvpetukhov@ya.ru