

Environmental Sustainability IN Agile Processes: the AMELI (Acting, MEasuring, Learning and Improving) Model - Extended Abstract

Jennifer Pérez ¹, Agustín Yagüe ¹ and Daniel Guamán ²

¹ Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Alan Turing s/n, Madrid, 28031, Spain

² Universidad Técnica Particular de Loja, San Cayetano Alto, Loja, 1101608, Ecuador

Abstract

Sustainable development requires to be performed just using the necessary needs of the present without compromising future generation's needs. Agile software development is a perfect framework to deploy sustainable models with concrete activities and measurements that could assist agile practitioners to be aware about the sustainability in their agile processes. This work presents the AMELI model, which prescribes a set of activities and measurements that practitioners can use to learn from them in order to achieve future sustainability improvements in their agile processes. In this work, we present the environmental dimension of AMELI.

Keywords

Agile, Process, Sustainability, Green, Environmental.

1. Introduction

Agile software development should have a strong commitment in such a way agile processes and their assets should be sustainable-aware [3], [10], [11]. Sustainability addresses three main dimensions [1][2][4]: Environmental, Social and Economic. In this work, we present the environmental dimension of a sustainability model for agile practitioners sustainable-aware agile processes, called AMELI (Acting, MEasuring, Learning and Improving). It prescribes activities to measure sustainability indicators that allow one to learn how to improve sustainability in next sprints or projects [16]. As a result, AMELI provides agile practitioners to have a continuous feedback about the green degree of their agile processes.

2. The AMELI (Acting, Measuring, Learning and Improving) Model: Environmental Dimension

The AMELI model has been conceived to support sustainability IN agile processes [13], [15], [17] through some activities and measurements derived from a set of main questions about agile process. The environmental dimension addresses the questions: Where, How, What and When.

WHERE? This question is about where the agile process is conducted, i.e. the place where the agile team works. The place where our agile process is adopted provide us valuable information about our environmental sustainability degree [18]. AMELI prescribes to calculate the indicator of **Place Environmental Waste** by using the main indicators (energy, paper, plastic and water) that vary throughout the working progress of the team (see equations, Figure 1). The Energy Place Waste equation provide us the power consumption in kilowatts per hour (kWh) (see Eq.3), the Paper Waste is measured using an integer number that corresponds with the number of A4 sheet papers (see Eq.4), the

B. Combemale, G. Mussbacher, S. Betz, A. Friday, I. Hadar, J. Sallou, I. Groher, H. Muccini, O. Le Meur, C. Herglotz, E. Eriksson, B. Penzenstadler, AK. Peters, C. C. Venters. Joint Proceedings of ICT4S 2023 Doctoral Symposium, Demonstrations & Posters Track and Workshops. Co-located with ICT4S 2023. Rennes, France, June 05-09, 2023.

EMAIL: jenifer.perez@upm.es; agustin.yague@upm.es; daguaman@utpl.edu.ec

ORCID: 0000-0003-3192-7995; 0000-0002-4761-0901; 0000-0002-2681-565X



© 2023 Copyright for this paper by its authors.

Use permitted under Creative Commons License Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).

CEUR Workshop Proceedings (CEUR-WS.org)

Plastic Waste is measured in an integer number (see Eq.5), and the Water Waste is measured in liters (see Eq.6). Finally, the Place Environmental Waste is the sum of all this values (see Eq.1), providing a number that we should try to be improved sprint to sprint. Since this sum has different measurement units, it is important to normalize the values applying the Z normalization, which consists in scaling the values of each component using its mean value and its standard deviation. In addition, it is important to emphasize that the place not only generates waste, it can also generate environmental value. This value can be achieved by actions of the company that are independent of the agile process but help to reduce the waste footprint of its agile process adoption. This value is called **Place Environmental Value** (see equations, Figure 1). The organization may perform actions to generate new energy by installing photovoltaic panels in the building where the agile team is working, also the company can be involved in actions of afforestation or reforestation by planting trees, as well as actions about harvesting or desalination of water, or even, its recycling. The Energy Generation equation provides us the power generation in kilowatts per hour (kWh) (see Eq.7). The Paper Generation is measured using an integer number that corresponds with the number of A4 sheet papers (see Eq.8) (a tree is 45 feet high and 8 inches across has between 10.000 sheets of paper). The Plastic Recycling is measured in an integer number that represents the number of plastic items that have been recycled by the team (see Eq.9), and the Water Facilitation is measured in the liters of water that has been harvested, desalinated or recycled through initiatives that the company has participated in (see Eq.10). Finally, the Place Environmental Value is the sum of all this Z normalized values providing a number that should be improved sprint to sprint. In addition, the difference among the *Place Environmental Value* (see Eq.6) and the *Place Environmental Waste* (see Eq.1) will provide a value about our sustainable degree from the where perspective (see Eq. 11), which is called **Where Sustainability Degree**:

Place Environmental Waste = Energy Place Waste + Paper Waste + Plastic Waste + Water Waste (Eq.1)
Energy Place Waste = Lights Power Consumption + Computers Power Consumption + Air Conditioning Systems Consumption + Heating Systems Power Consumption (Eq.3)
Paper Waste = TransformToNumberA4Sheets (Number of Post-its + Number of Booknotes * Number of paper sheets + Number of Other Kind of Papers) + Number of A4 paper sheets (Eq. 4)
Plastic Waste = Number of elements of plastic used not recyclable (bottles, glasses, bags, straws, cutlery, dishes, etc) (Eq.5)
Water Waste = Water Consumption of the bathrooms (Eq. 6)
Place Environmental Value = Energy Generation + Paper(Oxygen) Generation + Recycling + Water Facilitation (Eq.2)
Energy Generation = Power Generated from photovoltaic panels of the building (Eq.7)
Paper(Oxygen) Generation = (Number of trees restored from degraded forests, afforested and/or reforested) * 10.000 + Number of recycled paper elements (Eq. 8)
Plastic Recycling = Number of recycled plastic elements (Eq. 9)
Water Facilitation = Water harvesting, desalination and recycling (Eq.10)
Where Sustainability Degree = Place Environmental Value - Place Environmental Waste (Eq.11)

Figure 1: Calculation of Where Sustainability Degree

Finally, there are other **non-quantitative actions** that can be performed in the team's working place in order to improve our Where Sustainability Degree. They consist in promoting habits to the agile team that in the medium term will be materialized in quantitative sustainability results, e.g. switching off computers, screens or other electronic devices when they are not used.

HOW? This question is about how the agile team conducts its agile process. The agile process is articulated by using a set of development tools and hardware devices. The selection of this hardware and software components is also critical in our environmental sustainability, since they will incur a degree of power consumption depending on their properties and they will provide a degree. Therefore, it is important to select the most suitable sustainability components without losing the required properties to successfully develop the agile process. The How question must be analyzed from two different moments: the selection and the use, which are described as follows.

Selection. Before starting the agile process, the selection of the hardware and software setting has to be determined to adopt the agile process. In AMELI, we promote the selection of this setting by taking into account the energy consumption reduction. To that end, the selection process should be proceeded as follows:

Hardware/Software Selection

Precondition: The hardware/software provides the required support for the agile process conduction

Process:

1. SELECT the hardware device/software tools FROM all hardware devices/software tools of the same type
 - 1.1. SELECT the hardware device/software tool FROM the previously selected WHERE the power consumption is the lowest.

Code 1. Hardware Software Selection

There is a lot of advances in the measurement of power consumption and the design of sustainable hardware. Therefore, the power consumption of hardware devices is detailed as a norm in their specification, and the selection of the most suitable hardware for supporting the agile process is easy.

However, a lot of work is still pending in software and we do not have this power consumption information. There are initiatives and tools that support software measurement [5], [6], [7].

Use. Once, the tools have been selected from the established selection criteria. AMELI defines the **Environmental How Sustainability Degree**, i.e. the power consumption of the agile process (see Eq.11).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Environmental How Sustainability Degree} & (11) \\
 & = \left(\sum_1^n kWh \text{ software tools} \right) * \text{hours} \\
 & * \text{sprint days (Being } n \text{ the number of used software tools)},
 \end{aligned}$$

WHAT? This question is about the product that is being developed. Depending of the system under development, it may have hardware components or not. In these cases, to apply a sustainable hardware selection process of the hardware components that will constitute the system is required. To be sustainable, the hardware components of the product must be selected with the same selection process of Code 1. On the other hand, the sustainability of the software development tools [19] used for constructing the product as well as the software development techniques applied to this construction are critical for the environmental sustainability of our product. As a result, it is necessary to take into account the development tools presented in Figure 2.a selecting them using existing studies comparing them from a sustainability point of view, or making the comparison using the selection process of Code 1. In addition, it is important to promote the developing techniques described in Figure 2.a, i.e. refactoring on the fly and well-known green patterns that boost the sustainable software execution [8], [9], [12], [14], and evidencing the energy saving of the improvement by measuring the product execution after these refactoring. The What Sustainability Degree can be measured with two different units: the power consumption of the product (see Eq.12) and the level of the What Sustainability Degree, i.e. the performed sustainability actions related with the product are marked for calculating the value (see Figure 2.a).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Power Consumption of the What Sustainability Degree} & (12) \\
 & = \text{Power consumption of the developed product under execution} \\
 & + \text{Power consumption of all the devices under the product execution,}
 \end{aligned}$$

a) What Sustainability Degree			b) AMELI adoption in the Agile Process	
Activity	Degree	Mark (0 or 1 or n/a)	Agile Phase/Activity	AMELI Adoption
Evaluation of Development Tools Power Consumption	Development tools		Initial Product Backlog Creation	Calculation of the Where Sustainability Degree
	DBMS		Workshop / 1st Sprint/Pregame	Calculation the Environmental How Sustainability Degree
	Deployment tools		Sprint	Adoption of non-quantitative environmental actions
	Operation tools			Adoption of Developing Techniques to Reduce Power consumption
	AI Algorithms			Continuous calculation of the Power Consumption of the What Sustainability Degree
	Languages		Review	Demonstration of the Power Consumption of the What Sustainability Degree
	Others		Retrospective	Calculation of the What Sustainability Degree
Programming Patterns		If New Actions --> Recalculation of the Where Sustainability Degree		
Architecting		If New Actions --> Recalculation the Environmental How Sustainability Degree		
Refactoring		If there is no iteration 1 --> Calculation of the Improvement of previous iteration		
Adoption of Developing Techniques to Reduce Power consumption	Continuous Power Consumption Monitoring			
	Stakeholders diversity in areas and themes			
	Sustainability BY The product supports	*		
What Sustainability Degree:		$\Sigma(\text{marks})$		

*Total number of SDG supported

Figure 2: What and When adoption

WHEN? the When question addresses when to apply this actions and measurements throughout the agile process. AMELI defines the guideline presented in Figure 2.b, and prescribes to also use a specialized retrospective board/sailboat method for the analyzing the positive/negative/improve aspects of the environmental dimensions of sustainability.

3. Conclusions

This work presents the AMELI (Acting, MEasuring, Learning and Improving) model as a mechanism to address sustainability IN agile processes by smoothly integrate it into the agile activities. In this work we address the environmental dimension. As future work, we plan to define the activities

of the economic and social dimensions, as well as to evaluate the model in a real setting to analyze the feedback of practitioners in order to extend/reduce the model to facilitate its adoption.

4. Acknowledgements

This work is partially funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO) through the project SIoTCom: Sustainability-Aware IoT Systems Driven by Social Communities (PID2020-118969RB-I00).

5. References

- [1] Amsel, N., Ibrahim, Z., Malik, A., Tomlinson, B.: Toward sustainable software engineering: Nier track. In: 33rd International Conference on Software Engineering, pp. 976–979 (2011)
- [2] Calero, C. and Piattini, M., Puzzling out Software Sustainability. *Sustainable Computing Journal*. 16 (December 2017): 117-124 (2017)
- [3] Dick, M., Drangmeister, J., Kern, E., and Naumann, S.: Green software engineering with agile methods. In: 2nd Int.Workshop on Green and Sustainable Software, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2013, pp. 78-85.
- [4] Eckstein, J., de O. Melo, C. (2021): Sustainability: Delivering Agility’s Promise. *Software Sustainability*. Springer, Cham.
- [5] Green Software Foundation: awesome-green-software, <https://github.com/Green-Software-Foundation/awesome-green-software>, last accessed 2023/02/17.
- [6] Guamán, D., Pérez, J., Garbajosa, J., Rodríguez, G. (2020). A Systematic-Oriented Process for Tool Selection: The Case of Green and Technical Debt Tools in Architecture Reconstruction. In: *Product-Focused Software Process Improvement*. LNCS, vol 12562. Springer.
- [7] Guamán, D., Pérez, J. & Valdiviezo-Díaz, P. Estimating the energy consumption of model-view-controller applications. *J Supercomputing* (2023).
- [8] Lago, P., Gu, Q., & Bozzelli, P. (2014). A systematic literature review of green software metrics.
- [9] Li, H. (2012, April): Dynamic analysis of object-oriented software complexity. *Int. Conf. on Consumer Electronics, Communications and Networks*, pp. 1791–1794, 2012.
- [10] Murugesan, S. (2008). Harnessing green IT: Principles and practices. *IT professional*, 10(1), 24-33.
- [11] Naumann, S., Dick, M., Kern, E., and Johann, T.: The GREENSOFT Model: A reference model for green and sustainable software and its engineering. *Sustainable Computing: Informatics and Systems*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 294–304, 2011.
- [12] Pérez-Castillo, R., & Piattini, M. (2014). Analyzing the harmful effect of god class refactoring on power consumption. *IEEE software*, 31(3), 48-54.
- [13] Rashid, N., Khan, S. U., Khan, H. U., and Ilyas M.: Green-Agile Maturity Model: An Evaluation Framework for Global Software Development Vendors. In: *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 71868-71886, 2021.
- [14] Rocheteau, J. (2015): Energy Wasting Rate as a Metrics for Green Computing and Static Analysis.
- [15] Shamshiri. H. (2021): Supporting sustainability design through agile software development. In *Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*. ACM, New York, USA, 300–304.
- [16] Venters, C. C., Capilla, R., Betz, S., Penzenstadler, B., Crick, T., Crouch, S., ... & Carrillo, C. (2018). Software sustainability: Research and practice from a software architecture viewpoint. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 138, 174-188.
- [17] Ciccullo, F., Pero, M., Caridi, M., Gosling, J., & Purvis, L. (2018). Integrating the environmental and social sustainability pillars into the lean and agile supply chain management paradigms: A literature review and future research directions. *Journal of cleaner production*, 172, 2336-2350.
- [18] Zakrzewska, M., Piwowar-Sulej, K., Jarosz, S., Sagan, A., & Softysik, M. (2022). The linkage between Agile project management and sustainable development: A theoretical and empirical view. *Sustainable Development*, 30(5), 855-869.
- [19] Georgiou, S., Rizou, S., & Spinellis, D. (2019). Software development lifecycle for energy efficiency: techniques and tools. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 52(4), 1-33.